

## **FINAL EXAM ASSIGNMENT**

Name : Sufyan Tsaury  
NIM : 2530311086  
Class : HK A  
Course : English  
Assignment : Legal Case Analysis  
Topic : PT Natatex Prima Case of Hazardous Waste Management Violation  
in Sumedang  
Date : 02 May 2026

### **PT Natatex Prima Case of Hazardous Waste Management Violation in Sumedang**

#### **Location**

Cimanggung, Sumedang, West Java.

#### **Chronology**

PT Natatex Prima is a textile company located in Sumedang. The company used a coal-fired boiler in its production process. This activity produced hazardous and toxic waste, known as B3 waste, including fly ash, bottom ash, and sludge.

During the investigation, law enforcement officers found that the company stored hazardous waste outside the licensed temporary storage area. The waste was reportedly placed in sacks weighing around 20–50 kilograms. Because the waste was not properly managed, the company was considered to have violated environmental protection rules.

The case was tried at the Sumedang District Court in case number 208/Pid.Sus-LH/2024/PN Smd. The decision was issued on July 9, 2025. Later, the case was appealed to the Bandung High Court. On August 26, 2025, the Bandung High Court upheld the decision of the Sumedang District Court.

#### **Legal Article Used**

The company was found guilty of producing B3 waste and failing to manage it as required under Article 59 of Law Number 32 of 2009 concerning Environmental

Protection and Management. The indictment also referred to Article 103 jo. Article 116 paragraph (1) letter a jo. Article 118 jo. Article 119 of Law Number 32 of 2009, as amended by Law Number 6 of 2023.

### **Court Decision**

The Sumedang District Court found PT Natatex Prima guilty. The company, represented by its director Aan Natawidjaya, was sentenced to 1 year of imprisonment and ordered to pay a fine of Rp2 billion. The court also imposed an additional punishment requiring environmental restoration at the hazardous waste storage location. The Bandung High Court later upheld this decision.

### **Conclusion**

This case shows that companies can be criminally responsible when they produce hazardous waste but fail to manage it according to environmental law. The case is suitable for a UAS assignment because it has a clear chronology, legal basis, and court decision.